arXiv:math/0610898v1 [math.RT] 29 Oct 2006

ON IRREDUCIBLE WEIGHT REPRESENTATIONS OF A NEW DEFORMATION $U_q(sl_2)$ OF $U(sl_2)$

XIN TANG

Abstract. Starting from a Hecke $R-$matrix, Jing and Zhang constructed a new deformation $U_q(sl_2)$ of $U(sl_2)$, and studied its finite dimensional representations in [6]. Especically, this algebra is proved to be just a bialgebra, and all finite dimensional irreducible representations are constructed in [6]. In addition, an example is given to show that not every finite dimensional representation of this algebra is completely reducible. In this note, we take a step further by constructing more irreducible representations for this algebra. We first construct points of the spectrum of the category of representations over this new deformation by using methods in noncommutative algebraic geometry. Then applied to the study of representations, our construction recovers all finite dimensional irreducible representations as constructed in [6], and yields new families of infinite dimensional irreducible weight representations of this new deformation $U_q(sl_2)$.

Introduction

Spectral theory of abelian categories was first started by Gabriel in [4]. Gabriel defined the injective spectrum of any noetherian grothendieck category. The injective spectrum consists of isomorphism classes of indecomposible injective objects in the category. Let R be a commutative noetherian ring, then the injective spectrum of the category of all $R-$modules is isomorphic to the prime spectrum of R as affine schemes. In particular, one can reconstruct any noetherian commutative scheme by using the injective spectrum of the category of quasi-coherent sheaves of modules on it. The general spectrum of any abelian category was later on defined by Rosenberg in [13]. One of the advantages of this spectrum is that it is defined for any abelian category. Via this spectrum, one can reconstruct any quasi-separated and quasi-compact scheme via the spectrum of the abelian category of quasi-coherent sheaves of modules over the scheme.

Even though spectral theory is more important for the the purpose of noncommutative algebraic geometry, since it provides many nontrivial examples for the study of noncommutative algebraic gemoetry ([13]). It also has very nice applications to representation theory. Namely, the spectrum has a natural analogue of the Zariski topology and its closed points are in a one to one correspondence with the irreducible objects in the category. In order to study irreducible representations, one can first study the spectrum of the category of all representations, then single out closed ones.

Date: Oct 2006.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17A36, 17B40; Secondary 17B30.

Key words and phrases. Spectrum, Deformation of $U(sl_2)$, Irreducible Representations, Hyperbolic Algebras.

As a specific application of spectral theory to representation theory, points of the spectrum have been constructed for a large family of algebras, which are called Hyperbolic algebras in [13]. And it is a pure luck that a lot of 'small' algebras such as $U(sl_2)$ (or its quantized versions) and most deformations of it are Hyperbolic algebras.

Jing and Zhang constructed a new deformation (which is still denoted by $U_q(sl_2)$ in [6]) of $U(sl_2)$ starting from a Hecke $R-$matrix. Among other things, they also studied its finite dimensional rerpesentations in detail in [6]. This algebra shares a lot of properties with $U(sl_2)$ and all its finite dimensional irreducible representations are constructed explicitly in [6]. Note that this new deformation is just a bialgebra deformation of $U(sl_2)$, and an example is also constructed in [6] to show that not all of its finite dimensional representations are completely reducible. So the representation theory of this new deformation might be slightly different from that of the quantized enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2$. And it should be an interesting problem to construct new families of irreducible representations for this new deformation for the sake of a better understanding of this algebra.

In this note, we are interested in the problem of constructing irreducible representations for this algebra. We first construct families of points for the spectrum of the category of representations of this deformation. Then applied to the study of representations, our construction first recovers all finite dimensional irreducible representations of $U_q(sl_2)$ as constructed in [6], and in addition yields families of infinite dimensional irreducible reprenestations as well. This can also be regarded as one more nice application of the methods in noncommutative algebraic geometry to representation theory. For more details about spectral theory, we refer the reader to [13] for a complete coverage.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we give a very brief review on the spectrum of any abelian category. In Section 2, we review the concept of Hyperbolic algebras. In Section 3, we review some basic facts about the new deformation $U_q(sl_2)$ introduced by Jing and Zhang, and prove some supplementary useful Lemmas. In Section 4, we construct families of points of the spectrum for $U_q(sl_2)$. Then we will use them to construct irreducible representations for this new deformation $U_q(sl_2)$. We will follow the notations in [6], and always denote the new deformation by $U_q(sl_2)$. The base field will always be fixed to be the complex field $\mathbb{C}$ and q is not a root of unity.

1. Basic facts about the spectrum of any abelian category

In this section, we are going to review some basic notions and facts about the spectrum of any abelian category for the purpose of understanding the rest of this work. First, we review the definition of the spectrum of any abelian category, then we explain its applications in representation theory.

Let C_X be an abelian category. Let $M, N \in C_X$ be any two objects in C_X; We say that $M \succ N$ if and only if N is a subquotient of the direct sum of finite copies of M. It is easy to verify that $\succ$ is a preorder. We say $M \approx N$ if and only if $M \succ N$ and $N \succ M$. It is obvious that $\approx$ is an equivalence. Let $Spec(X)$ be the family of all nonzero objects $M \in C_X$ such for any nonzero subobject N of M, $N \succ M$. The spectrum of any abelian category is defined in [13] as follows:

$$\mathbf{Spec(X)} = Spec(X)/\approx$$

Spec(**X**) is called the spectrum of C_X.

Spec(**X**) has a natural analogue of the Zariski topology. And its closed points are in a one to one correspondence with the irreducible objects of C_X. One can reconstruct any commutative quasi-compact and semi-separated schemes from the spectra of the categories of quasi-coherent sheaves over them. The spectrum of any abelian category is more important for the purpose of noncommutative algebraic geometry, since it provides many nontrival examples of noncommutative algebraic spaces for the study of noncommutative algebraic geometry.

Spectrum also has important applications in representation theory. Let R be an algebra and C_X be the category of all $R-$modules, the closed points of **Spec**(**X**) are in a one to one correspondence to irreducible $R-$modules. While **Spec**(**X**) has a better functorial property than the set of closed points as in the case of commutative algebraic geometry. So one can study the spectrum via the methods in noncommutative algebraic geometry, then apply to representation theory ([13]) to recover representations. The rest of this paper is a typical application of spectral theory in represenation theory.

2. Hyperbolic algebras $R\{\theta, \xi\}$ and points of the spectrum

Hyperbolic algebras are studied by Rosenberg in [13] and by Bavula under the name of Generalized Weyl algebras in [1]. Hyperbolic algebra structure is very convenient for the construction of points of the spectrum. And a lot of interesting algebras such as $U(sl_2)$ and its quantized versions have a Hyperbolic algebra structure. Points of the spectrum of the category of modules over these algebras have been constructed in [13]. In this section, we review some basic facts about Hyperbolic algebras and two imporatant construction theorems due to Rosenberg ([13]).

Let θ be an automorphism of a commutative algebra R; and let ξ be an element of R. Then we have the following definition from [13]:

Definition 2.1. We denote by $R\{\theta, \xi\}$ the corresponding $R-$algebra generated by x, y subject to the following relations:

$$xy = \xi, \quad yx = \theta^{-1}(\xi)$$

and

$$xa = \theta(a)x, \quad ya = \theta^{-1}(a)y$$

for any $a \in R$. And $R\{\theta, \xi\}$ is called a Hyperbolic algebra over R.

First, we look at some basic examples of Hyperbolic algebras:

Example 2.1. The first Weyl algebra A_1 is a Hyperbolic algebras over $R = \mathbb{C}[xy]$ with $\theta(xy) = xy + 1$; $U(sl_2)$ and its quaztized versions are Hyperbolic algebras too. And the reader to refered to [13] for more details about Hyperbolic algebras.

Let $C_X = C_{R\{\theta,\xi\}}$ be the category of representations of $R\{\theta, \xi\}$. We denote by **Spec**(**X**) the spectrum of the category C_X. The Hyperbolic algebra structure is very convenient for the construction of points of the spectrum **Spec**(**X**). In order to construct points of **Spec**(**X**), the left prime spectrum $Spec_l(R\{\theta, \xi\})$ of the Hyperbolic algebra $R\{\theta, \xi\}$ is also defined in [13], which is proved to be isomorphic to **Spec**(**X**).

From now on, we will not distinguish these two spectra from each other. For the left prime spectrum of the Hyperbolic algebra, we have the following two crucial construction theorems due to Rosenberg from [13]:

Theorem 2.1. *(Thm 3.2.2.in* [13] *)*

(1) *Let $P \in Spec(R)$, and the orbit of P under the action of the automorphism θ is infinite.*
   (a) *If $\theta^{-1}(\xi) \in P$, and $\xi \in P$, then the left ideal*
   $$P_{1,1} \colon = P + R\{\theta, \xi\}x + R\{\theta, \xi\}y$$
   is a two-sided ideal from $Spec_l(R\{\theta, \xi\})$.
   (b) *If $\theta^{-1}(\xi) \in P$, $\theta^i(\xi) \notin P$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $\theta^n(\xi) \in P$, then the left ideal*
   $$P_{1,n+1} \colon = R\{\theta, \xi\}P + R\{\theta, \xi\}x + R\{\theta, \xi\}y^{n+1}$$
   belongs to $Spec_l(R\{\theta, \xi\})$.
   (c) *If $\theta^i(\xi) \notin P$ for $i \geq 0$ and $\theta^{-1}(\xi) \in P$, then*
   $$P_{1,\infty} \colon = R\{\theta, \xi\}P + R\{\theta, \xi\}x$$
   belongs to $Spec_l(R\{\theta, \xi\})$.
   (d) *If $\xi \in P$ and $\theta^{-i}(\xi) \notin P$ for all $i \geq 1$, then the left ideal*
   $$P_{\infty,1} \colon = R\{\theta, \xi\}P + R\{\theta, \xi\}y$$
   belongs to $Spec_l(R\{\theta, \xi\})$.
(2) *If the ideal P in (b), (c) or (d) is maximal, then the corresponding left ideal of $Spec_l(R\{\theta, \xi\})$ is maximal.*
(3) *Every left ideal $Q \in Spec_l(R\{\theta, \xi\})$ such that $\theta^\nu(\xi) \in Q$ for a $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ is equivalent to one left ideal as defined above uniquely from a prime ideal $P \in Spec(R)$. The latter means that if P and P' are two prime ideals of R and (α, β) and (ν, μ) take values $(1, \infty), (\infty, 1), (\infty, \infty)$ or $(1, n)$, then $P_{\alpha,\beta}$ is equivalent to $P'_{\nu,\mu}$ if and only if $\alpha = \nu, \beta = \mu$ and $P = P'$.*

□

Theorem 2.2. *(Prop 3.2.3. in* [13]*)*

(1) *Let $P \in Spec(R)$ be a prime ideal of R such that $\theta^i(\xi) \notin P$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\theta^i(P) - P \neq \emptyset$ for $i \neq 0$, then $P_{\infty,\infty} = R\{\theta, \xi\}P \in Spec_l(R\{\theta, \xi\})$.*
(2) *Moreover, if $\mathbf{P}$ is a left ideal of $R\{\theta, \xi\}$ such that $\mathbf{P} \cap R = P$, then $\mathbf{P} = P_{\infty,\infty}$. In particular, if P is a maximal ideal, then $P_{\infty,\infty}$ is a maximal left ideal.*
(3) *If a prime ideal $P' \subset R$ is such that $P_{\infty,\infty} = P'_{\infty,\infty}$, then $P' = \theta^n(P)$ for some integer n. Conversely, $\theta^n(P)_{\infty,\infty} = P_{\infty,\infty}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

□

3. A new deformation $U_q(sl_2)$ of $U(sl_2)$

Starting from an $R-$matrix, Jing and Zhang constructed a new deformaton of $U(sl_2)$, which is still denoted by $U_q(sl_2)$ in [6]. This new deformation is a bialgebra deformation of $U(sl_2)$ [6]. In this section, we first recall the definition of this new deformation $U_q(sl_2)$. Then we verify that $U_q(sl_2)$ has a Hyperbolic algebra

structure over a polynomial ring in two variables. Finally, we will state and verify some supplementary useful formulas, which will be used in the next section.

Let $\mathbb{C}$ be the field of complex numbers and $0 \neq q$ be a element of $\mathbb{C}$. Let $U_q(sl_2)$ be the $\mathbb{C}-$algebra generated by e, f, h subject to following relations:

$$qhe - eh = 2e, hf - qfh = -2f; ef - qfe = h + \frac{1-q}{4}h^2$$

Though this algebra is slightly different from the usual quanitzed enveloping algebra of sl_2, it is still denoted by $U_q(sl_2)$ according to [6], and we will follow their notation in this paper. It is easy to see that this new deformation $U_q(sl_2)$ shares a lot of properties with $U(sl_2)$. However, this new deformation $U_q(sl_2)$ is just a bialgebra deformation of $U(sl_2)$ without having a Hopf algebra structure. The finite dimensional irreducible representations of this algebra were constructed in [6], and an example was constructed to show that not every finite dimensional representationn is completely reducible.

In addition, it has a Casimir element which can be constructed as follows:

$$C = ef + fe + \frac{1+q}{4}h^2 = 2qfe + h + \frac{1}{2}h^2 = 2ef - h + \frac{q}{2}h^2.$$

We have the following basic lemma about this Casmir element C from [6]:

Lemma 3.1. *(Lem 3.4 in* [6]*) C $q-$commutes with generators of $U_q(sl_2)$ in the following sense:*

$$eC = qCe,$$
$$fC = q^{-1}Cf,$$
$$hC = Ch$$

□

We have the following corollary of Lemma 3.1.

Corollary 3.1. *h commutes with ef.*

Proof: This follows directly from the definition of C and Lemma 3.1. □

Let us denote ef by ξ and e, f by x, y respectively. Let $R = \mathbb{C}[\xi, h]$ be the subalgebra of $U_q(sl_2)$ generated by ξ, h, then R is a polynomial ring in two variables ξ, h, which is thus commutative. We will verify that $U_q(sl_2)$ is a Hyperbolic algebra over R.

First of all, let us define an autmorphism θ of R by

$$\theta(h) = qh - 2, \quad \theta(\xi) = q\xi + q^2h + \frac{q^2 - q^3}{4}h^2 - (q+1)$$

It is obvious that θ can be extended to an algebra automorphism of R.

In addition, we have the following basic lemma:

Lemma 3.2. *The following identities hold:*

(1) $xh = \theta(h)x, \quad x\xi = \theta(\xi)x;$

(2) $yh = \theta^{-1}(h)y, \quad y\xi = \theta^{-1}(\xi)y;$

(3) $xy = \xi, \quad yx = \theta^{-1}(\xi).$

Proof: The verification of the above lemma is straightforward, and we will omit it. □

From Lemma 3.2., we have the following proposition:

Proposition 3.1. *$U_q(sl_2)$ is a Hyperbolic algebra over R.*

Proof: This follows directly from the definition of Hyperbolic algebras and Lemma 3.2. □

Corollary 3.2. *The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $U_q(sl_2)$ is 3.*

Proof: This follows from the fact that $U_q(sl_2)$ is a Hyperbolic algebra over a polynomial algebra in two variables. Since the Gelfand-kirillov dimension is 2, so the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $U_q(sl_2)$ is 3. □

Before we finish this section, we would like to state another useful lemma which will be used in the next section.

Lemma 3.3.

$$\theta^n(h) = q^n h - 2\frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$$

and

$$\theta^n(\xi) = q^n\xi + \frac{q^{n+1}(1-q^n)}{4}h^2 + \frac{q^{n+1}(q^n-1)}{q-1}h - \frac{(q^n-1)(q^{n+1}-1)}{(q-1)^2}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof: First of all, we prove the statement is true for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. When $n = 0$, the statment is obviously true. And we have $\theta(h) = qh - 2$. Suppose the statement is true for $n-1$, we prove it is true for n as well. Note that we have

$$\theta^n(h) = \theta(\theta^{n-1}(h)) = \theta(q^{n-1}h - 2\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{q-1}) = q^n h - 2\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}$$

So we have proved the first statement for $n \geq 0$ by using induction. Similar arguement shows that the statement is true for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now we are going to prove the second statement. Since C is in R, then we have $xC = \theta(C)x$ and $xC = qCx$ by Lemma 3.1. So we have $\theta(C) = qC$. Hence $\theta^n(C) = q^nC$. Thus

$$2q^n\xi - q^n h + \frac{q^{n+1}}{2}h^2 = 2\theta^n(\xi) - (q^n h - 2\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}) + \frac{q}{2}(q^n h - 2\frac{q^n-1}{q-1})^2$$

Therefore, we have

$$\theta^n(\xi) = q^n\xi + \frac{q^{n+1}(1-q^n)}{4}h^2 + \frac{q^{n+1}(q^n-1)}{q-1}h - \frac{(q^n-1)(q^{n+1}-1)}{(q-1)^2}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. So we are done with the proof. □

4. Construction of points of the spectrum for $U_q(sl_2)$

In this section, we construct families of points of the spectrum of the category of representations of $U_q(sl_2)$ using the construction theorems quoted in Section 2 from [13]. As a result, we will obtain families of irreducible weight representations of $U_q(sl_2)$.

First of all, we have the following basic proposition:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $P = (\xi - \alpha, h - \beta)$ be a closed pont of $Spec(R)$. Then $\{\theta^n(p) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a finite set if and only if $\alpha = -\frac{1}{(q-1)^2}$ and $\beta = \frac{2}{q-1}$.*

Proof: If $\alpha = -\frac{1}{(q-1)^2}, \beta = \frac{2}{q-1}$, then $\theta^n(h-\beta) = q^n h - 2\frac{q^n-1}{q-1} - \beta = q^n(h-\beta) + (q^n-1)\beta - 2\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}$. In addition, $\theta^n(\xi-\alpha) = q^n(\xi-\alpha) + (\frac{q^{n+1}(1-q^n)}{4}h + \frac{q^{n+1}(q^n-1)}{q-1} + \frac{q^{n+1}(1-q^n)\beta}{4})(h-\beta) + \frac{q^{n+1}(1-q^n)\beta^2}{4} + \frac{q^{n+1}(q^n-1)\beta}{q-1} + (q^n-1)\alpha - \frac{(q^{n+1}-1)(q^n-1)}{(q-1)^2}$. So the orbit of P is finite if and only if $(q^n-1)\beta - 2\frac{q^n-1}{q-1} = 0$ and $\frac{q^{n+1}(1-q^n)\beta^2}{4} + \frac{q^{n+1}(q^n-1)\beta}{q-1} + (q^n-1)\alpha - \frac{(q^{n+1}-1)(q^n-1)}{(q-1)^2} = 0$, hence if and only if $\alpha = -\frac{1}{(q-1)^2}, \beta = \frac{2}{q-1}$. □

For the rest of this section, we may assume that $\beta \neq \frac{2}{q-1}$. And we have the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that q is not a root of unity and $s \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}{2}$ be a half non-negative integer. Let $P = M_{\alpha,\beta} = (\xi - \alpha, h - \beta) = (\xi - \frac{q^{-2s}-1}{1-q^{2s}}, h - 2\frac{1\mp q^{-s}}{1-q})$ be a maximal ideal of R, then the corresponding point $P_{1,n+1} = R\{\theta,\xi\}P + R\{\theta,\xi\}x + R\{\theta,\xi\}y^{n+1}$ of the left prime spectrum $Spec_l(R\{\theta,\xi\})$ a closed point. Hence the representation $R\{\theta,\xi\}/P_{1,n+1}$ corresponding to this point is a finite dimensional irreducible representation of $U_q(sl_2)$.*

Proof: If $P = (\xi-\alpha, h-\beta) = (\xi - \frac{q^{-2s}-1}{1-q^{2s}}, h - 2\frac{1\mp q^{-s}}{1-q})$, then we have $\theta^{-1}(\xi) \in P$ and $\theta^{2s}(\xi) \in P$. Thus the statement follows from (b) of part (1) of Theorem 3.1. □

Remark 4.1. The representations constructed above recover all finite dimensional irreducible representations as constructed in [6].

Now we are going to construct some new families of infinite dimensional irreducible weight representations. Suppose $P = M_{\alpha,\beta} = (\xi - \alpha, h - \beta)$ is a maximal ideal of R, then we have the following:

Theorem 4.2. (1) *If $\alpha = \beta - \frac{q-1}{4}\beta^2$ and $\beta \neq 2\frac{1\mp q^{-s}}{1-q}$ for any non-negative half integer s, then the corresponding point $P_{1,\infty} := R\{\theta,\xi\}P + R\{\theta,\xi\}x$ of the spectrum is closed. And the corresponding representation $R\{\theta,\xi\}/P_{1,\infty}$ is an infinite dimensional irreducible highest weight representation.*

(2) *If $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta \neq 2\frac{1\mp q^{-(s+\frac{1}{2})}}{1-q}$ for any half positive integer s, then the corresponding point $P_{\infty,1} := R\{\theta,\xi\}P + R\{\theta,\xi\}y$ of the spectrum is closed, and the corresponding representation $R\{\theta,\xi\}/P_{\infty,1}$ is an infinite dimensional irreducible lowest weight representation.*

Proof: We will only verify the first part of this statement, and the rest is similar. According to Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\theta^{-1}(\xi) = q^{-1}\xi - q^{-1}h - \frac{1-q}{4q}h^2$$

If $\alpha = \beta - \frac{q-1}{4}\beta^2$, and $\beta \neq 2\frac{1\mp q^{-s}}{1-q}$, then we have $\theta^{-1}(\xi) \in P$ and $\theta^n(\xi) \notin P$ for any $n \geq 0$, so that $P_{1,\infty} := R\{\theta,\xi\}P + R\{\theta,\xi\}x$ is a closed point of the left prime spectrum $Spec_l(R\{\theta,\xi\})$ by Theorem 3.1, hence the corresponding representation $R\{\theta,\xi\}/P1,\infty$ is an infinite dimensional highest weight irreducible representation. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let $M_{\alpha,\beta} = (\xi - \alpha, h - \beta)$ be a maximal ideal of R such that $\alpha \neq \frac{q(q^n-1)}{4}\beta^2 - \frac{q(q^n-1)}{q-1}\beta + \frac{(q^n-1)(q^{n+1}-1)}{(q-1)^2q^n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the point $P_{\infty,\infty} = R\{\theta,\xi\}P \in Spec_l(R\{\theta,\xi\})$ is a closed point of the left prime spectrum, and the corresponding representation $R\{\theta,\xi\}/P_{\infty,\infty}$ is an infinite dimensional irreducible weight representation.*

Proof: The proof is a direct verification of the conditions in Theorem 3.2, and we will omit it here. □

Remark 4.2. It is tempting to construct some nonweight irreducible representations for $U_q(sl_2)$ as done in [15] and [16]. Unfortunately, the Whittaker model does not work here. The difficulty lies in that the algebra $U_q(sl_2)$ has a trivial center. So it would be an interesting problem to find a way of constructing nonweight irreducible representations for this new deformation $U_q(sl_2)$.

References

[1] Bavula, V.V., Generalized Weyl algebras and their representations, *Algebra i Analiz 4(1992), no.1, 75-97; English transl. in St Petersburg Math. J. 4(1993), 71-93.*

[2] Dixmier,J., *Enveloping algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.

[3] Drinfeld, V.G., Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equations, *Soviet math. Dokll,*, **32** 254-258 (1985).

[4] Gabriel, P., Des categories abeliennes, *Bull. Soc. Math. France,* 90(1962) pp.323-449.

[5] Jantzen, J.C., *Lectures on Quantum Groups, Vol.6*, Graduate Studies in Math., Amer. Math. Soc., 1993.

[6] Jing, Naihuan and Zhang, J., Quantum Weyl algebras and Deformations of $U(G)$, *Pacific J. Math.,* (1995), **171(2)**:437-454.

[7] Qingzhong Ji, Dingguo Wang and Xiangquan Zhou, Finite dimensional Representations of Quantum groups $U_q(f(K))$, *East-West J. Math.,* (2000), **2(2)**:201-213.

[8] Kostant, B., On Whittaker vectors and representation theory, *Invent. Math.,* (1978), **48(2)**:101-184.

[9] Kulkarni, R., Irreducible representations of Witten's deformations of $U(sl_2)$, *J. of Algebra* (1999), **214 no. 1**: 64-91.

[10] Lynch, T., Generalized Whittaker vectors and representation theory, M.I.T., Ph.D. Thesis, 1979.

[11] Macdowell, E., On modules induced from Whittaker modules, *J. Algebra,* (1985), **96**:161-177.

[12] Ondrus, M., Whittaker modules for $U_q(sl_2)$, *J. Algebra,* (2005), **289**:192-213.

[13] Rosenberg, A., *Noncommutative algebraic geometry and representations of quantized algebras,* Kluwer Academic Publishers, Mathematics and Its Applications, V.330 (1995).

[14] Smith, S.P., A class of algebras similar to the enveloping algebra of sl_2, *Trans. AMS,* (1990), **322**: 285-314.

[15] Tang, X., Constructing irreducible representations of quantum groups $U_q(f(K))$, preprint.

[16] Tang, X., On Whittaker modules over algebras similar to $U(sl_2)$, preprint.

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science, Fayetteville State University, Fayetteville, NC 28301

E-mail address: xtang@uncfsu.edu